

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 ROBERT JACKSON

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

8 Defendants.
9

Case No. 2:16-cv-00995-APG-NJK

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

(ECF. Nos. 34, 53)

10 On April 3, 2018, Magistrate Judge Koppe entered a report and recommendation that I
11 deny without prejudice plaintiff Robert Jackson's motion for temporary restraining order because
12 he did not address or establish all factors needed obtain a restraining order. ECF No. 53. Jackson
13 did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and
14 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo
15 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
16 made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the
17 district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if*
18 *objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppe's report and recommendation (ECF
20 No. 53) is accepted. Plaintiff Robert Jackson's motion for temporary restraining order (ECF No.
21 34) is DENIED without prejudice.

22 DATED this 26th day of April, 2018.
23

24 
25 ANDREW P. GORDON
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27
28